SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	W-14-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	DEFENDANTS			
DONNA BUCHERT			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant		
			•		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na Craig Thor Kimmel, Es	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ad	NOTE: IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CASES, USE INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF THE	
Kimmel & Silverman, I					
30 E. Butler Pike		Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002					
(215) 540-8888				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
	(marcate Cazensinp of Fartes in term in)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product PERSONAL INJURY □ 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpracti	ce 620 Other Food & Drug	28 USC 157	 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		L	450 Commerce460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment				☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE		1	J 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		3 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lendin 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	g LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY (1395ff)	3 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	ge Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	3 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 385 Property Damag 360 Other Personal Product Liabilit		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 3 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury Product Engine	& Disclosure Act		3 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION			3 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	ate 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.		■ 893 Environmental Matters ■ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	3 895 Freedom of Information	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & C			Under Equal Access	
	Employment 550 Civil Rights	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus -] [,	to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio Other	n Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Actions			
□ 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another	ferred from G Multidistric Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversity):	<u> </u>	
	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIOUNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMANDS	CHECK YES only if JURY DEMAND:	demanded in complaint: Ves No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:					
DATE	SIGNATURE (OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
1/21/17	2				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of					
Address of Plaintiff: (19402 MILW OLUKEE St, #2, Madison WI 53718						
Address of Defendant OT Prudential Ra. Horsha	m PA 19044					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation as	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No No					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No V					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	· · ·					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No ↓ uit pending or within one year previously terminated					
action in this court?	_ `_					
2. Done ship and involve the validity or infiliance and of a metal ship air in mis an armount in a	Yes No No					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	Yes No					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?					
	Ycs□ No 🔍					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury					
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation					
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury					
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please					
	specify)					
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability					
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases						
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTI	FICATION					
CIONIATIONS VIVA MA OI (Check Appropriate Ca	tegory)					
I, counsel of reford do hereby certification and Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and the second section 3(c) (2).						
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	series, the dumages recoverable in this erri action case exceed the sum of					
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: 1/212/12	77100					
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court					
except as noted above.	\sim 100					
DATE: 1/201/Z	<u> </u>					
CIV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

bonna Buchert	: :	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
NCO Anancial system	s,inc.	NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
1 212 12 Date 215-540-8888	CMIG THOY KMMLI Attorney-at-law 877-188-2864	Donna Buchera Attorney for Kimmel Coredi	 <u>tla</u> w. wm
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any or	e of the other tracks.	()
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks (as complex and that need special or side of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	\bowtie	
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de		()	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	()		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 DONNA BUCHERT, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 DONNA BUCHERT ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 INTRODUCTION 15 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 18 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 19 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 24 and as such, personal jurisdiction is established. 25 Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Madison, Wisconsin 53718.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Also, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in August 2011, and continuing through November 2011, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone on a repetitive and continuous basis in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 14. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, one (1) to two (2) times per day during this period.
- 15. The vast majority of Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were automated, causing Plaintiff to receive multiple pre-recorded voicemail messages in addition to the telephone calls.

- 16. On one occasion, in August 2011, Plaintiff spoke with a live representative of Defendant.
- 17. During this conversation, Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop calling her home telephone, as she did not want to receive debt collection calls on her home telephone.
- 18. Despite Plaintiff's instructions to stop calling, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiff on her home telephone seeking and demanding payment of an alleged debt.
- 19. In addition to the volume of calls, Defendant's actions were annoying and harassing based on the fact that the majority of its calls were automated, making it impossible for Plaintiff to speak with a live representative to stop the collection calls.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff on a repetitive and continuous basis with the intent of harassing Plaintiff into paying this debt.

CONSTRUCTION OF LAW

- 21. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u>, 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); <u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 22. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be

construed liberally in favor of the consumer." <u>Johnson v. Riddle</u>, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

23. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 24. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff at a time or place that it knew or should have known was inconvenient;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;

- d. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA when caused Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff; and
- e. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DONNA BUCHERT, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DONNA BUCHERT, demands a jury trial in this case.

Date: 1 26 12

RESPECTFULL SUBMITTED,

By: Craig Thor Kimmel

Attorney ID No. 57100

Kimmel & Silverman,/P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com